Draft version December 14, 2016
Preprint typeset using LaTeX style emulateapj v. 01/23/15

arXiv:1612.04362v1 [astro-ph.GA] 13 Dec 2016

LABORATORY FREQUENCY REDISTRIBUTION FUNCTION FOR THE POLARIZED Λ-TYPE THREE-TERM ATOM

R. Casini[1] and R. Manso Sainz[2]
[1]High Altitude Observatory, National Center for Atmospheric Research,[a]
P.O. Box 3000, Boulder, CO 80307-3000, U.S.A. and
[2]Max Planck Institute for Solar System Research,
Justus-von-Liebig-Weg 3, 37077 Göttingen, Germany

Draft version December 14, 2016

ABSTRACT

We present the frequency redistribution function for the polarized three-term atom of the Λ-type in the collisionless regime, and we specialize it to the case where both the initial and final terms of the three-state transition are metastable (i.e., with infinitely sharp levels). This redistribution function represents a generalization of the well-known $R_{\rm II}$ function to the case where the lower terms of the transition can be polarized and carry atomic coherence, and it can be applied to the investigation of polarized line formation in tenuous plasmas, where collisional rates may be low enough that anisotropy induced atomic polarization survives even in the case of metastable levels.

1. INTRODUCTION

Plasma diagnostics in both laboratory and astrophysical settings relies principally on spectroscopic and polarimetric methods of observation, where the radiation emitted from the plasma is analyzed as a function of wavelength and state of polarization. These allow one to determine important properties of the observed plasma, such as bulk and turbulent velocity fields, gas composition and density, existence of anisotropic processes such as the presence of directed electric and/or magnetic fields, and anisotropic sources of irradiation and of colliding particles.

Particularly in the case of low density gases, collisional processes can be of such modest magnitude that the excitation state of the plasma is typically far away from local thermodynamical equilibrium (LTE). In that case, the excitation and de-excitation processes that are responsible for the observed radiation may be statistically correlated, so that the system is able to preserve a "memory" of the excitation conditions. A typical example is the partially coherent scattering of radiation, where the frequency of the outgoing photon is correlated (via an intrinsically second-order atom–photon process) to the spectral distribution of the incoming radiation, leading to the phenomenon of partial redistribution of frequency.

These excitation conditions are rather common in low-density astrophysical plasmas, such as the higher layers of the solar atmosphere, planetary nebulae, and interstellar H I regions, where outstanding spectral lines of the observed spectrum (e.g., the first few lines of the Lyman and Balmer series of hydrogen, some lines of neutral sodium, and of singly ionized calcium, etc.) are known to be formed in conditions of strong departure from LTE, which may often also include the effects of partially coherent scattering of radiation.

In this paper, we derive the redistribution function in the laboratory frame for the polarized three-term atom of the Λ-type, i.e., for the transition system $(l,l')\rightarrow(u,u')\rightarrow f$ such that $l,l',f\prec u,u'$ by energy ordering (see Figure 1). This model can be used to investigate, for example, the formation of the Ca II system of transitions comprising the K and H lines and the infrared (IR) triplet of the solar spectrum (Casini & Manso Sainz 2016).

The expression we arrive at corresponds to the extension of the well-known $R_{\rm II}$ redistribution function (e.g., Mihalas 1978) to the case of a three-term atom that can harbor atomic polarization in all of its levels, including those of the lower (metastable) states. In applications where plasma collisions are important in determining the statistical equilibrium of the atomic system, this expression is still useful as it provides the correct contribution to the total emissivity of the plasma from radiation scattering. As long as the collisional lifetime of the metastable states is much longer than the *total* lifetime of the upper state, the approximation of sharp lower levels remains applicable in practice, and our expression of $R_{\rm II}$ can then be used, with proper weights, alongside with $R_{\rm III}$, to completely describe the radiative and collisional redistribution of radiation in the modeled atmosphere (see, e.g., Belluzzi & Trujillo Bueno 2012).

2. THE REDISTRIBUTION FUNCTION FOR THE Λ-TYPE THREE-TERM ATOM

We rely on previously published work (Casini et al. 2014, hereafter, Paper I) on the redistribution function for the polarized two-term atom in order to develop the framework for the generalization of $R_{\rm II}$ to the case of a three-term atom of the Λ-type, undergoing the two-photon transition $(l,l')\rightarrow(u,u')\rightarrow f$ (see Figure 1). The bases for this generalization were laid out in a recent paper (Casini & Manso Sainz 2016), where we showed how the radiative transfer equation describing the polarized line formation in a two-term atom in the presence of partially coherent scattering (Equations (19) and (20) of Paper I) naturally extends to the case of the multi-term atom of the Λ-type (Equations (1) and (2) of Casini & Manso Sainz 2016).

[a] The National Center for Atmospheric Research is sponsored by the National Science Foundation.



Figure 1. Schematic diagram for the fluorescent scattering in a three-term model atom of the Λ-type, for an incoming photon of frequency ω_k and an outgoing photon of frequency $\omega_{k'}$. The model atom considered in this paper is restricted to the case where all (l, l') and f levels are sharp (i.e., metastable). However, all the levels involved can be non-degenerate.

In practice, the main complication in deriving the redistribution function for the three-term atom comes from allowing $\epsilon_f \neq \epsilon_{l,l'}$ for the final state f (here ϵ_a is the total width of the level a due to all possible relaxation processes), and from the need to assume generally different thermal widths for the two transitions $l \rightarrow u$ and $u \rightarrow f$. Accordingly, we introduce a characteristic Doppler width Δ_{mn} for the atomic transition between two generic terms m and n with energy E_m and E_n, respectively. We indicate with $\omega_{mn} = (E_m - E_n)/\hbar$ the Bohr frequency of such transition.

For each scattering event, the angle Θ between the propagation directions of the incoming and outgoing photons is an essential parameter of the laboratory frame redistribution function. We introduce the associated quantities

$$C = \cos\Theta \ , \qquad S = \sin\Theta \ , \tag{1}$$

and follow Hubeny (1982) for the choice of the Cartesian reference frame for the projection of the thermal velocity of the plasma. This coincides with the frame adopted in Paper I (see also Mihalas 1978) when the thermal widths of the two transitions are identical (i.e., when the terms l and f have the same energy). Accordingly, we define for the Λ-type transition $l \rightarrow u \rightarrow f$,

$$\Delta = (\Delta_{ul}^2 + \Delta_{uf}^2 - 2C\Delta_{ul}\Delta_{uf})^{1/2} \ , \tag{2}$$

$$\xi_l = \Delta_{ul}/\Delta \ , \qquad \xi_f = \Delta_{uf}/\Delta \ . \tag{3}$$

We note that Equations (2) and (3) imply

$$\xi_l^2 + \xi_f^2 - 2C\xi_l\xi_f = 1 \ . \tag{4}$$

We define next the complex profile function (e.g., Landi Degl'Innocenti & Landolfi 2004)

$$\begin{aligned} W(v,a) \equiv & \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp \ \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-p^2}}{a + \mathrm{i}(p-v)} = \exp(a - \mathrm{i}v)^2 \, \mathrm{erfc}(a - \mathrm{i}v) \\ \equiv & H(v,a) + \mathrm{i}\, L(v,a) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where erfc(z) is the complementary error function (e.g., Abramowitz & Stegun 1964), and $H(v,a)$ and $L(v,a)$ are respectively the Voigt and Faraday–Voigt functions, along with the dimensionless frequency variables

$$v_{mn} = (\hat{\omega}_k - \omega_{mn})/\Delta \ , \qquad w_{mn} = (\hat{\omega}_{k'} - \omega_{mn})/\Delta \ . \tag{6}$$

The incoming and outgoing radiation frequencies, $\hat{\omega}_k$ and $\hat{\omega}_{k'}$, are expressed in the laboratory frame of reference, and they differ from the corresponding frequencies in the atomic frame of rest because of the thermal motion of the atoms (see Appendix of Paper I). We also introduce normalized damping parameters associated with the inverse lifetimes of the transition levels, using the same "reduced" Doppler width of Equation (2)

$$a_m = \epsilon_m/\Delta \ . \tag{7}$$

In the presence of pressure broadening, the numerator of Equation (7) should be augmented by the corresponding collisional inverse lifetime.

We note in passing that the proposed normalizations (6) and (7) differ from the one adopted in Paper I, where instead the frequency and inverse lifetimes pertaining to a given transition were normalized to the Doppler width for that transition. The choice adopted here is dictated exclusively by convenience, as it significantly simplifies the form of the resulting expressions.

Following the approach of Paper I, Appendix A, we find that we can perform the integration over two of the three components of the thermal velocity in the adopted reference frame. Accordingly, after some algebra, the redistribution function of the polarized three-term atom of the Λ-type can be written in the following integral form

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(\Omega_u,\Omega_{u'};\Omega_l,\Omega_{l'},\Omega_f;\hat{\omega}_k,\hat{\omega}_{k'};\Theta) = & \frac{1}{\Delta^2\, S\xi_l\xi_f}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dq\, \mathrm{e}^{-q^2} \\
\times\Bigg\{ & \frac{W\left(\frac{v_{ul'}+q(C\xi_l\xi_f-\xi_l^2)}{S\xi_l\xi_f},\frac{a_u+a_{l'}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right)}{a_{l'}+a_f+\mathrm{i}\big(q-v_{ul'}+w_{uf}\big)} + \frac{\overline{W}\left(\frac{v_{u'l}+q(C\xi_l\xi_f-\xi_l^2)}{S\xi_l\xi_f},\frac{a_{u'}+a_l}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right)}{a_l+a_f-\mathrm{i}\big(q-v_{u'l}+w_{u'f}\big)} \\
& + \frac{W\left(\frac{w_{uf}+q(\xi_f^2-C\xi_l\xi_f)}{S\xi_l\xi_f},\frac{a_u+a_f}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right)}{a_l+a_f-\mathrm{i}\big(q-v_{ul}+w_{uf}\big)} + \frac{\overline{W}\left(\frac{w_{u'f}+q(\xi_f^2-C\xi_l\xi_f)}{S\xi_l\xi_f},\frac{a_{u'}+a_f}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right)}{a_{l'}+a_f+\mathrm{i}\big(q-v_{u'l'}+w_{u'f}\big)} \\
& + \frac{W\left(\frac{v_{ul'}+q(C\xi_l\xi_f-\xi_l^2)}{S\xi_l\xi_f},\frac{a_u+a_{l'}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right) - W\left(\frac{w_{uf}+q(\xi_f^2-C\xi_l\xi_f)}{S\xi_l\xi_f},\frac{a_u+a_f}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right)}{a_f-a_{l'}-\mathrm{i}(q-v_{ul'}+w_{uf})} \\
& + \frac{\overline{W}\left(\frac{v_{u'l}+q(C\xi_l\xi_f-\xi_l^2)}{S\xi_l\xi_f},\frac{a_{u'}+a_l}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right) - \overline{W}\left(\frac{w_{u'f}+q(\xi_f^2-C\xi_l\xi_f)}{S\xi_l\xi_f},\frac{a_{u'}+a_f}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right)}{a_f-a_l+\mathrm{i}(q-v_{u'l}+w_{u'f})} \Bigg\}\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{8}
$$

where we have indicated with $\overline{W}$ the complex conjugate of W. Equation (8) transforms exactly into Equation (A6) of Paper I when $\xi_l=\xi_f$ (and letting $a_u=a_{u'}$ and $a_l=a_{l'}=a_f$), noting that Equation (2) gives $\Delta=2S_2\Delta\omega_T$, in such case, using the notation of Paper I.

In order to facilitate further manipulation of Equation (8) for specific applications, it is convenient to introduce barred quantities $\bar{x}=x/(S\xi_l\xi_f)$. Thus Equation (8) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(\Omega_u,\Omega_{u'};\Omega_l,\Omega_{l'},\Omega_f;\hat{\omega}_k,\hat{\omega}_{k'};\Theta) = & \frac{1}{\Delta^2\, S\xi_l\xi_f}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\bar{q}\, \mathrm{e}^{-S^2\xi_l^2\xi_f^2\bar{q}^2} \\
\times\Bigg\{ & \frac{W\big(\bar{v}_{ul'}+\bar{q}(C\xi_l\xi_f-\xi_l^2),\bar{a}_u+\bar{a}_{l'}\big)}{\bar{a}_{l'}+\bar{a}_f+\mathrm{i}\big(\bar{q}-\bar{v}_{ul'}+\bar{w}_{uf}\big)} + \frac{\overline{W}\big(\bar{v}_{u'l}+\bar{q}(C\xi_l\xi_f-\xi_l^2),\bar{a}_{u'}+\bar{a}_l\big)}{\bar{a}_l+\bar{a}_f-\mathrm{i}\big(\bar{q}-\bar{v}_{u'l}+\bar{w}_{u'f}\big)} \\
& + \frac{W\big(\bar{w}_{uf}+\bar{q}(\xi_f^2-C\xi_l\xi_f),\bar{a}_u+\bar{a}_f\big)}{\bar{a}_l+\bar{a}_f-\mathrm{i}\big(\bar{q}-\bar{v}_{ul}+\bar{w}_{uf}\big)} + \frac{\overline{W}\big(\bar{w}_{u'f}+\bar{q}(\xi_f^2-C\xi_l\xi_f),\bar{a}_{u'}+\bar{a}_f\big)}{\bar{a}_{l'}+\bar{a}_f+\mathrm{i}\big(\bar{q}-\bar{v}_{u'l'}+\bar{w}_{u'f}\big)} \\
& + \frac{W\big(\bar{v}_{ul'}+\bar{q}(C\xi_l\xi_f-\xi_l^2),\bar{a}_u+\bar{a}_{l'}\big) - W\big(\bar{w}_{uf}+\bar{q}(\xi_f^2-C\xi_l\xi_f),\bar{a}_u+\bar{a}_f\big)}{\bar{a}_f-\bar{a}_{l'}-\mathrm{i}(\bar{q}-\bar{v}_{ul'}+\bar{w}_{uf})} \\
& + \frac{\overline{W}\big(\bar{v}_{u'l}+\bar{q}(C\xi_l\xi_f-\xi_l^2),\bar{a}_{u'}+\bar{a}_l\big) - \overline{W}\big(\bar{w}_{u'f}+\bar{q}(\xi_f^2-C\xi_l\xi_f),\bar{a}_{u'}+\bar{a}_f\big)}{\bar{a}_f-\bar{a}_l+\mathrm{i}(\bar{q}-\bar{v}_{u'l}+\bar{w}_{u'f})} \Bigg\}\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

We then define

$$
x_{ab}=\bar{v}_{ab}+\bar{q}(C\xi_l\xi_f-\xi_l^2)\,, \qquad y_{ab}=\bar{w}_{ab}+\bar{q}(\xi_f^2-C\xi_l\xi_f)\,, \tag{9}
$$

from which (see Equation (4))

$$
x_{ab}-y_{ac}=\bar{v}_{ab}-\bar{w}_{ac}-\bar{q}\,. \tag{10}
$$

When $C=\xi_l/\xi_f$ or $C=\xi_f/\xi_l$, the coefficient of $\bar{q}$ in one of the two definitions (9) vanishes.[1] However, the relation (10), on which we rely for the following development, remains valid. Using these two definitions, we then can rewrite at last

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(\Omega_u,\Omega_{u'};\Omega_l,\Omega_{l'},\Omega_f;\hat{\omega}_k,\hat{\omega}_{k'};\Theta) = & \frac{1}{\Delta^2\, S\xi_l\xi_f}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\bar{q}\, \mathrm{e}^{-S^2\xi_l^2\xi_f^2\bar{q}^2} \\
\times\Bigg\{ & \frac{W\big(x_{ul'},\bar{a}_u+\bar{a}_{l'}\big)}{\bar{a}_{l'}+\bar{a}_f-\mathrm{i}(x_{ul'}-y_{uf})} + \frac{\overline{W}\big(x_{u'l},\bar{a}_{u'}+\bar{a}_l\big)}{\bar{a}_l+\bar{a}_f+\mathrm{i}(x_{u'l}-y_{u'f})} + \frac{W\big(y_{uf},\bar{a}_u+\bar{a}_f\big)}{\bar{a}_l+\bar{a}_f+\mathrm{i}(x_{ul}-y_{uf})} + \frac{\overline{W}\big(y_{u'f},\bar{a}_{u'}+\bar{a}_f\big)}{\bar{a}_{l'}+\bar{a}_f-\mathrm{i}(x_{u'l'}-y_{u'f})} \\
& + \frac{W\big(x_{ul'},\bar{a}_u+\bar{a}_{l'}\big) - W\big(y_{uf},\bar{a}_u+\bar{a}_f\big)}{\bar{a}_f-\bar{a}_{l'}+\mathrm{i}(x_{ul'}-y_{uf})} + \frac{\overline{W}\big(x_{u'l},\bar{a}_{u'}+\bar{a}_l\big) - \overline{W}\big(y_{u'f},\bar{a}_{u'}+\bar{a}_f\big)}{\bar{a}_f-\bar{a}_l-\mathrm{i}(x_{u'l}-y_{u'f})} \Bigg\}\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{11}
$$

This is the starting point for the derivation of the redistribution function for the model atom considered in this paper.

[1] Note that this can happen for *both* definitions at the same time only if $\xi_f=\xi_l$, but in that case the problem becomes identical to the one for the two-term atom (Casini et al. 2014).

3. THE CASE OF THE Λ-TYPE THREE-TERM ATOM WITH METASTABLE LOWER STATES

In order to treat the case of a Λ-type atom undergoing the transition $(l,l') \to (u,u') \to f$, where the initial and final terms are metastable, we must consider the limit $\bar{a}_{l,l',f} \to 0$ of Equation (11), using the identity

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \frac{1}{\epsilon \pm \mathrm{i}z} = \pi\delta(z) \mp \mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{Pv}\frac{1}{z}\ , \tag{12}$$

where $\delta(x)$ and Pv are, respectively, the Dirac delta and the Cauchy principal value distributions. In general, we will assume that the initial lower term is polarized and carrying atomic coherence (i.e., $\rho_{ll'} \neq 0$). After some algebraic manipulation (see Appendix A), and using

$$v_{ul} - w_{uf} = \frac{\hat{\omega}_k - \hat{\omega}_{k'} + \omega_{lf}}{\Delta} = v_{u'l} - w_{u'f}\ , \tag{13}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&R(\Omega_u,\Omega_{u'};\Omega_l,\Omega_{l'},\Omega_f;\hat{\omega}_k,\hat{\omega}_{k'};\Theta)_{\text{s.l.l.}} = \frac{\pi}{\Delta^2\, S\xi_l\xi_f} \\
&\times\Bigg\{\exp\left[-\frac{(\hat{\omega}_k - \hat{\omega}_{k'} + \omega_{lf})^2}{\Delta^2}\right]\left[W\left(\frac{\kappa^+ v_{ul} + \kappa^- w_{uf}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a_u}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right) + \overline{W}\left(\frac{\kappa^+ v_{u'l} + \kappa^- w_{u'f}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a_{u'}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right)\right] \\
&\quad + \exp\left[-\frac{(\hat{\omega}_k - \hat{\omega}_{k'} + \omega_{l'f})^2}{\Delta^2}\right]\left[W\left(\frac{\kappa^+ v_{ul'} + \kappa^- w_{uf}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a_u}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right) + \overline{W}\left(\frac{\kappa^+ v_{u'l'} + \kappa^- w_{u'f}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a_{u'}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right)\right]\Bigg\} \\
&+ \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\Delta^2\, S\xi_l\xi_f}\fint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dq\ \mathrm{e}^{-q^2}\left(\frac{1}{v_{ul'} - w_{uf} - q} - \frac{1}{v_{ul} - w_{uf} - q}\right) \\
&\qquad \times\left[W\left(\frac{w_{uf} + q(\xi_f^2 - C\xi_l\xi_f)}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a_u}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right) + \overline{W}\left(\frac{w_{u'f} + q(\xi_f^2 - C\xi_l\xi_f)}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a_{u'}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right)\right]\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where we introduced the quantities defined in Equation (A4), and where the symbol $\fint$ indicates that the integral must be evaluated as the Cauchy principal value. We note that it is possible to rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{1}{v_{ul'} - w_{uf} - q} - \frac{1}{v_{ul} - w_{uf} - q}\right)\left[W\left(\frac{w_{uf} + q(\xi_f^2 - C\xi_l\xi_f)}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a_u}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right) + \overline{W}\left(\frac{w_{u'f} + q(\xi_f^2 - C\xi_l\xi_f)}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a_{u'}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right)\right] \\
&\qquad \equiv \frac{\omega_{ll'}}{\Delta}\,\frac{W\left(\frac{w_{uf} + q(\xi_f^2 - C\xi_l\xi_f)}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a_u}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right) + \overline{W}\left(\frac{w_{u'f} + q(\xi_f^2 - C\xi_l\xi_f)}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a_{u'}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right)}{(v_{ul'} - w_{uf} - q)(v_{ul} - w_{uf} - q)}\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where we observed that

$$v_{ul} - v_{ul'} = \frac{\omega_{ll'}}{\Delta} = v_{u'l} - v_{u'l'}\ .$$

Thus, the integral term in Equation (14) vanishes when $\omega_{ll'} = 0$, i.e., for completely degenerate lower levels, or in the case of non-coherent lower term (see below). In Appendix C, we show that this integral contribution is simply a frequency redistribution term that carries no net energy.

Despite the relative simplicity of Equation (15), the original form of the integrand as given in Equation (14) is more convenient for numerical computation, since it can be shown that (see Appendix B)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\fint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dq\ \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-q^2}}{v - w - q}\, W\left(\frac{w + q(\xi_f^2 - C\xi_l\xi_f)}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right) \\
&= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp\ \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-p^2/\xi_f^2}}{a + \mathrm{i}(p - w)}\, F\left(\frac{v - w + p(1 - C\xi_l/\xi_f)}{S\xi_l}\right)\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $F(x)$ is Dawson's integral function, and so the need to evaluate integrals in the principal-value sense is removed.



Figure 2. The real (top) and imaginary (bottom) parts of the integral of the redistribution function of Equation (17) over the normalized output frequency $v_{k'} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(w_{uf} + w_{u'f})$, plotted against the normalized input frequency $v_k \equiv \frac{1}{2}(v_{ul} + v_{u'l'})$. The different curves correspond to different values of the ratio $v_{ll'} \equiv \omega_{ll'}/\Delta$. For this example, we assumed a scattering angle $\Theta = 1$ rad, and a fully degenerate upper level (i.e., $\omega_{uu'} = 0$).

With this transformation, Equation (14) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
&R(\Omega_u, \Omega_{u'}; \Omega_l, \Omega_{l'}, \Omega_f; \hat{\omega}_k, \hat{\omega}_{k'}; \Theta)_{\text{s.l.l.}} = \frac{\pi}{\Delta^2\, S\xi_l\xi_f} \\
&\times \Bigg\{ \exp\left[-\frac{(\hat{\omega}_k - \hat{\omega}_{k'} + \omega_{lf})^2}{\Delta^2}\right] \left[W\left(\frac{\kappa^+ v_{ul} + \kappa^- w_{uf}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a_u}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right) + \overline{W}\left(\frac{\kappa^+ v_{u'l} + \kappa^- w_{u'f}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a_{u'}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right)\right] \\
&\quad + \exp\left[-\frac{(\hat{\omega}_k - \hat{\omega}_{k'} + \omega_{l'f})^2}{\Delta^2}\right] \left[W\left(\frac{\kappa^+ v_{ul'} + \kappa^- w_{uf}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a_u}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right) + \overline{W}\left(\frac{\kappa^+ v_{u'l'} + \kappa^- w_{u'f}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a_{u'}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right)\right] \Bigg\} \\
&+ \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\, \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\Delta^2 S\xi_l\xi_f} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp\, \mathrm{e}^{-p^2/\xi_f^2} \left[\frac{1}{a_u + \mathrm{i}(p - w_{uf})} + \frac{1}{a_{u'} - \mathrm{i}(p - w_{u'f})}\right] \\
&\qquad \times \left[F\left(\frac{v_{ul'} - w_{uf} + p(1 - C\xi_l/\xi_f)}{S\xi_l}\right) - F\left(\frac{v_{ul} - w_{uf} + p(1 - C\xi_l/\xi_f)}{S\xi_l}\right)\right], \qquad (17)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used Equations (6) and (13) in order to combine similar terms.

Owing to the fact that $|F'(x)| \leq 1$ over the real domain (e.g., Abramowitz & Stegun 1964), from the mean-value theorem it follows that

$$
\left| F\left(\frac{v_{ul'} - w_{uf} + p(1 - C\xi_l/\xi_f)}{S\xi_l}\right) - F\left(\frac{v_{ul} - w_{uf} + p(1 - C\xi_l/\xi_f)}{S\xi_l}\right)\right| \leq \frac{|\omega_{ll'}|}{\Delta S\xi_l}\,.
$$

This allows us to estimate a bound to the integral contribution of Equation (17). In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp\, \mathrm{e}^{-p^2/\xi_f^2} \left| \frac{1}{a_u + \mathrm{i}(p - w_{uf})} + \frac{1}{a_{u'} - \mathrm{i}(p - w_{u'f})} \right| \\
&\leq H\left(\frac{w_{uf}}{\xi_f}, \frac{a_u}{\xi_f}\right) + H\left(\frac{w_{u'f}}{\xi_f}, \frac{a_{u'}}{\xi_f}\right) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp\, \mathrm{e}^{-p^2/\xi_f^2} \left[\frac{|p - w_{uf}|}{a_u^2 + (p - w_{uf})^2} + \frac{|p - w_{u'f}|}{a_{u'}^2 + (p - w_{u'f})^2}\right] \\
&\leq H\left(\frac{w_{uf}}{\xi_f}, \frac{a_u}{\xi_f}\right) + H\left(\frac{w_{u'f}}{\xi_f}, \frac{a_{u'}}{\xi_f}\right) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp\, \mathrm{e}^{-p^2/\xi_f^2} \left(\frac{1}{a_u} + \frac{1}{a_{u'}}\right) \\
&= H\left(\frac{w_{uf}}{\xi_f}, \frac{a_u}{\xi_f}\right) + H\left(\frac{w_{u'f}}{\xi_f}, \frac{a_{u'}}{\xi_f}\right) + \frac{\xi_f}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{1}{a_u} + \frac{1}{a_{u'}}\right), \qquad (18)
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 3. The real (top) and imaginary (bottom) parts of the redistribution function of Equation (17) plotted against the normalized output frequency $v_{k'} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(w_{uf} + w_{u'f})$, for various values of the normalized input frequency $v_k \equiv \frac{1}{2}(v_{ul} + v_{u'l'})$. For this example, we assumed $\omega_{ll'} = 0.5\,\Delta$ and $\omega_{uu'} = 0.2\,\Delta$.

and so the integral contribution in Equation (17) can be neglected when the quantity

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\,\frac{|\omega_{ll'}|}{\Delta S \xi_l}\left[H\left(\frac{w_{uf}}{\xi_f}, \frac{a_u}{\xi_f}\right) + H\left(\frac{w_{u'f}}{\xi_f}, \frac{a_{u'}}{\xi_f}\right) + \frac{\xi_f}{2\sqrt{\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{a_u} + \frac{1}{a_{u'}}\right)\right]$$

is sufficiently small compared to the absolute value of the contribution within curly brackets in that same equation.

Figure 2 shows the integral over the normalized output frequency $v_{k'} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(w_{uf} + w_{u'f})$ of the redistribution function of Equation (17), plotted against the normalized input frequency $v_k \equiv \frac{1}{2}(v_{ul} + v_{u'l'})$. The upper (lower) panel shows the real (imaginary) part of this integral. The different curves correspond to different values of the quantity $v_{ll'} \equiv \omega_{ll'}/\Delta$. For this example, we assumed a scattering angle $\Theta = 1$ rad, and a fully degenerate upper state, so that $\omega_{uu'} = 0$. In such a case, we see from Equation (17) that the contribution within curly brackets is purely real. Therefore, the plots in the lower panel are exclusively due to the integral term in Equation (17). We can conclude from those plots that the contribution of the integral term is generally not negligible, so it can significantly affect the shape of the redistributed profile depending on the importance of the lower-term coherence. In contrast, the integral term brings no contribution when the atom is illuminated with a flat spectrum, owing to the fact that its integral over v_k vanishes identically (see Figure 2, and the comment at the end of Appendix C).

In the particular case when the atomic coherence of the lower term is completely relaxed ($\rho_{ll'} = \delta_{ll'}\,\rho_{ll}$), or if the levels l and l' are completely degenerate, the integral contribution vanishes, and Equation (17) provides the generalization of the well-known $R_{\rm II}$ redistribution function (e.g., Mihalas 1978) to the case of a Λ-type three-term atom,

$$R_{\rm II}(\Omega_u, \Omega_{u'}; \Omega_l, \Omega_f; \hat{\omega}_k, \hat{\omega}_{k'}; \Theta) = \frac{2\pi}{\Delta^2\, S\xi_l\xi_f}\,\exp\left[-\frac{(\hat{\omega}_k - \hat{\omega}_{k'} + \omega_{lf})^2}{\Delta^2}\right] \times \left[W\left(\frac{\kappa^+ v_{ul} + \kappa^- w_{uf}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a_u}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right) + \overline{W}\left(\frac{\kappa^+ v_{u'l} + \kappa^- w_{u'f}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a_{u'}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right)\right]. \quad (19)$$

This expression was applied in recent work that investigated the effects of partial redistribution on the formation of polarized lines from Λ-type three-term atoms in the solar spectrum (Casini & Manso Sainz 2016).

Finally, Figure 3 shows several realizations of the full redistribution function of Equation (17) plotted against the normalized output frequency $v_{k'}$, for different values of the normalized input frequency v_k. For the example in this figure, we assumed $\omega_{ll'} = 0.5\,\Delta$ and $\omega_{uu'} = 0.2\,\Delta$, and a scattering angle $\Theta = 1$ rad.

4. THE CASES OF FORWARD AND BACKWARD SCATTERING

In the two cases of forward and backward scattering ($\Theta = 0$ and $\Theta = \pi$, respectively), the expression (17) for the laboratory redistribution function breaks down because of the condition $S = 0$. We can, however, treat these two cases relying on a physical argument of continuity, and taking the limit of the redistribution function for $S \to 0^+$. Using the



Figure 4. Plots showing the transition between the forms (19) and (21) of $R_{\rm II}$ in approaching the condition of forward scattering. The atomic model adopted is that of the three-term Ca II ion encompassing the formation of the H and K lines and the IR triplet. The plots show the Raman scattered emissivity in the polarized Ca II K line due to the monochromatic excitation of the Ca II 854.2 nm line at the exact value of its resonance frequency, and for different values of the scattering angle Θ near 0°.

asymptotic expansion of $W(v,a)$ for large values of $|v+\mathrm{i}a|$ (e.g., Landi Degl'Innocenti & Landolfi 2004), and recalling Equation (B3), it can be shown that

$$\lim_{k\to 0^+}\frac{1}{k}\,W\Big(\frac{v}{k},\frac{a}{k}\Big)=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{\pi}\,(v+\mathrm{i}a)}\;,\qquad \lim_{k\to 0^+}\frac{1}{k}\,F\Big(\frac{v}{k}\Big)=\frac{1}{2v}\;. \tag{20}$$

Considering for simplicity the case of non-coherent lower term, Equation (19) thus becomes, for the two cases of forward and backward scattering,

$$\begin{aligned}R_{\rm II}(\Omega_u,\Omega_{u'};\Omega_l,\Omega_f;\hat\omega_k,\hat\omega_{k'};C=\pm 1)=&\frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{\Delta_\mp}\,\exp\left[-\frac{(\hat\omega_k-\hat\omega_{k'}+\omega_{lf})^2}{\Delta_\mp^2}\right]\\ \times&\left[\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\kappa^+(\hat\omega_k-\omega_{ul})+\kappa^-(\hat\omega_{k'}-\omega_{uf})+\mathrm{i}\epsilon_u}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\kappa^+(\hat\omega_k-\omega_{u'l})+\kappa^-(\hat\omega_{k'}-\omega_{u'f})-\mathrm{i}\epsilon_{u'}}\right],\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where $\Delta_\pm=\Delta_{ul}\pm\Delta_{uf}$.

4.1. *The Special Case of the Two-term Atom*

When the two lower terms coincide, so that $\Delta_{uf}=\Delta_{ul}$, the asymptotic result expressed by Equation (20) is not generally applicable. In fact, in the case of a two-term atom with non-coherent lower term, Equation (19) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}R_{\rm II}(\Omega_u,\Omega_{u'};\Omega_l,\Omega_f;\hat\omega_k,\hat\omega_{k'};\Theta)=&\frac{\pi}{\Delta\omega_T^2\,C_2S_2}\,\exp\left[-\frac{(\hat\omega_k-\hat\omega_{k'}+\omega_{lf})^2}{4S_2^2\Delta\omega_T^2}\right]\\ \times&\left[W\left(\frac{\hat\omega_k+\hat\omega_{k'}-\omega_{ul}-\omega_{uf}}{2C_2\Delta\omega_T},\frac{\epsilon_u}{C_2\Delta\omega_T}\right)+\overline{W}\left(\frac{\hat\omega_k+\hat\omega_{k'}-\omega_{u'l}-\omega_{u'f}}{2C_2\Delta\omega_T},\frac{\epsilon_{u'}}{C_2\Delta\omega_T}\right)\right],\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where we indicated with $\Delta\omega_T=\Delta_{ul}=\Delta_{uf}$ the single value of the Doppler width of the two-term transition, and we also defined $S_2=\sin(\Theta/2)$ and $C_2=\cos(\Theta/2)$ (cf. Paper I). Then the limit (20) applies only to the case of backward scattering ($C_2\to 0$), but not to forward scattering ($S_2\to 0$). In the first case, we obtain an expression formally identical to Equation (21), namely,

$$\begin{aligned}R_{\rm II}(\Omega_u,\Omega_{u'};\Omega_l,\Omega_f;\hat\omega_k,\hat\omega_{k'};\Theta=\pi)=&\frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{\Delta\omega_T}\,\exp\left[-\frac{(\hat\omega_k-\hat\omega_{k'}+\omega_{lf})^2}{4\Delta\omega_T^2}\right]\\ \times&\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hat\omega_k+\hat\omega_{k'}-\omega_{ul}-\omega_{uf}+2\mathrm{i}\epsilon_u}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hat\omega_k+\hat\omega_{k'}-\omega_{u'l}-\omega_{u'f}-2\mathrm{i}\epsilon_{u'}}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

whereas in the case of forward scattering,

$$R_{\rm II}(\Omega_u,\Omega_{u'};\Omega_l,\Omega_f;\hat\omega_k,\hat\omega_{k'};\Theta=0)=\frac{2\pi\sqrt{\pi}}{\Delta\omega_T}\,\delta(\hat\omega_k-\hat\omega_{k'}+\omega_{lf})\\ \times\left[W\left(\frac{\hat\omega_{k'}-\omega_{uf}}{\Delta\omega_T},\frac{\epsilon_u}{\Delta\omega_T}\right)+\overline{W}\left(\frac{\hat\omega_{k'}-\omega_{u'f}}{\Delta\omega_T},\frac{\epsilon_{u'}}{\Delta\omega_T}\right)\right]\,, \tag{24}$$

owing to the fact that $\exp(-x^2/k^2)/k\to\sqrt{\pi}\,\delta(x)$ when $k\to 0^+$. This last expression in particular shows that the process of forward scattering *in a two-term atom* is strictly coherent. In fact, from a simple inspection of Equation (21) it is already possible to conclude that both processes of forward and backward scattering imply an increased degree of correlation between the input and output frequencies (cf. Lee 1977, and references therein), with a typical spread that is dominated by the inverse lifetime of the upper level, rather than by the Doppler width. However, only in the case of forward scattering in a two-term atom, strict coherence is attained, according to Equation (24).

The plots in Figure 4 show an example of the behavior of the scattered polarized emissivity in a three-term atomic system when the scattering angle approaches 0°. For the example in this figure, we adopted the three-term model of the Ca II ion underlying the formation of the H and K doublet around 395 nm and the IR triplet around 858 nm. The line formation model also includes a magnetic field of 10 G normal to the direction of the incident light, which is responsible for the appearance of line polarization, and in particular of non-vanishing Stokes U and V signals when the scattering direction forms an angle with the incidence direction. The plotted profiles of the Ca II K line at 393.4 nm are produced by Raman scattering of monochromatic radiation at the exact resonance frequency of the 854.2 nm line of the IR triplet. For the modeling we assumed a plasma density of $10^{12}\,{\rm cm}^{-3}$ and a temperature of 1000 K, which corresponds to a Doppler width of 8.5 mÅ. However, because of the near condition of forward scattering, the actual width of the scattered profiles is instead dominated by the natural linewidth ($\sim$ 0.5 mÅ), as expected. Figure 4 numerically demonstrates how Equation (21) indeed provides the correct limit of the general expression (19) of $R_{\rm II}$, when approaching the condition of forward scattering, as both Stokes I and Q for $\Theta=0.1°$ are already practically indistinguishable from those at $\Theta=0°$.

We thank T. del Pino Alemán (HAO) for internally reviewing the manuscript and for helpful comments. We are deeply indebted to the anonymous referee, for a very careful review of our manuscript and for helpful comments and suggestions that have greatly improved the presentation of this work.

APPENDIX

A. FORMAL LIMIT FOR THE CONDITION OF METASTABLE INITIAL AND FINAL TERMS

In order to derive the form of Equation (11) for the case of metastable initial and final states, we must consider two possibilities in taking the limit for $\bar a_{l,l',f}\to 0$, which correspond to the assumptions that $\bar a_f \gtrless \bar a_{l,l'}$. We then find, in that limit,

$$R(\Omega_u,\Omega_{u'};\Omega_l,\Omega_{l'},\Omega_f;\hat\omega_k,\hat\omega_{k'};\Theta)_{\rm s.l.l.}=\frac{1}{\Delta^2\,S\xi_l\xi_f}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}d\bar q\;{\rm e}^{-S^2\xi_l^2\xi_f^2\bar q^2}\\ \times\Bigg\{W\big(x_{ul'},\bar a_u\big)\left[\pi\delta(x_{ul'}-y_{uf})+{\rm i\,Pv}\frac{1}{x_{ul'}-y_{uf}}\right]+\overline{W}\big(x_{u'l},\bar a_{u'}\big)\left[\pi\delta(x_{u'l}-y_{u'f})-{\rm i\,Pv}\frac{1}{x_{u'l}-y_{u'f}}\right]\\ +W\big(y_{uf},\bar a_u\big)\left[\pi\delta(x_{ul}-y_{uf})-{\rm i\,Pv}\frac{1}{x_{ul}-y_{uf}}\right]+\overline{W}\big(y_{u'f},\bar a_{u'}\big)\left[\pi\delta(x_{u'l'}-y_{u'f})+{\rm i\,Pv}\frac{1}{x_{u'l'}-y_{u'f}}\right]\\ +\Big[W\big(x_{ul'},\bar a_u\big)-W\big(y_{uf},\bar a_u\big)\Big]\left[\pm\pi\delta(x_{ul'}-y_{uf})-{\rm i\,Pv}\frac{1}{x_{ul'}-y_{uf}}\right]\\ +\Big[\overline{W}\big(x_{u'l},\bar a_{u'}\big)-\overline{W}\big(y_{u'f},\bar a_{u'}\big)\Big]\left[\pm\pi\delta(x_{u'l}-y_{u'f})+{\rm i\,Pv}\frac{1}{x_{u'l}-y_{u'f}}\right]\Bigg\}\,, \tag{A1}$$

where the $\pm$ sign in front of the Dirac-δs in the last two lines must be chosen according to whether $\bar a_f\gtrless\bar a_l$. By noting that

$$W\big(y_{uf},\bar a_u\big)\,\delta(x_{ul'}-y_{uf})=W\big(x_{ul'},\bar a_u\big)\,\delta(x_{ul'}-y_{uf})\,,\\ \overline{W}\big(y_{u'f},\bar a_{u'}\big)\,\delta(x_{u'l}-y_{u'f})=\overline{W}\big(x_{u'l},\bar a_{u'}\big)\,\delta(x_{u'l}-y_{u'f})\,,$$

we see that the terms carrying a sign choice vanish identically, and so we are left with

$$R(\Omega_u,\Omega_{u'};\Omega_l,\Omega_{l'},\Omega_f;\hat\omega_k,\hat\omega_{k'};\Theta)_{\text{s.l.l.}}=\frac{\pi}{\Delta^2\,S\xi_l\xi_f}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}d\bar q\;\mathrm{e}^{-S^2\xi_l^2\xi_f^2\bar q^2}$$
$$\times\Big\{W\big(x_{ul'},\bar a_u\big)\,\delta(x_{ul'}-y_{uf})+\overline{W}\big(x_{u'l},\bar a_{u'}\big)\,\delta(x_{u'l}-y_{u'f})+W\big(y_{uf},\bar a_u\big)\,\delta(x_{ul}-y_{uf})+\overline{W}\big(y_{u'f},\bar a_{u'}\big)\,\delta(x_{u'l'}-y_{u'f})$$
$$+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\pi}\,W\big(y_{uf},\bar a_u\big)\bigg(\mathrm{Pv}\frac{1}{x_{ul'}-y_{uf}}-\mathrm{Pv}\frac{1}{x_{ul}-y_{uf}}\bigg)+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\pi}\,\overline{W}\big(y_{u'f},\bar a_{u'}\big)\bigg(\mathrm{Pv}\frac{1}{x_{u'l'}-y_{u'f}}-\mathrm{Pv}\frac{1}{x_{u'l}-y_{u'f}}\bigg)\Big\}\,, \tag{A2}$$

We now substitute back the relations (9) and (10) that hold for the variables x_{ab} and y_{ab}:

$$R(\Omega_u,\Omega_{u'};\Omega_l,\Omega_{l'},\Omega_f;\hat\omega_k,\hat\omega_{k'};\Theta)_{\text{s.l.l.}}=\frac{\pi}{\Delta^2\,S\xi_l\xi_f}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}d\bar q\;\mathrm{e}^{-S^2\xi_l^2\xi_f^2\bar q^2}$$
$$\times\Big[W\big(\bar v_{ul'}+\bar q(C\xi_l\xi_f-\xi_l^2),\bar a_u\big)\,\delta\big(\bar v_{ul'}-\bar w_{uf}-\bar q\big)+\overline{W}\big(\bar v_{u'l}+\bar q(C\xi_l\xi_f-\xi_l^2),\bar a_{u'}\big)\,\delta\big(\bar v_{u'l}-\bar w_{u'f}-\bar q\big)$$
$$+W\big(\bar w_{uf}+\bar q(\xi_f^2-C\xi_l\xi_f),\bar a_u\big)\,\delta\big(\bar v_{ul}-\bar w_{uf}-\bar q\big)+\overline{W}\big(\bar w_{u'f}+\bar q(\xi_f^2-C\xi_l\xi_f),\bar a_{u'}\big)\,\delta\big(\bar v_{u'l'}-\bar w_{u'f}-\bar q\big)\Big]$$
$$+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\Delta^2\,S\xi_l\xi_f}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}d\bar q\;\mathrm{e}^{-S^2\xi_l^2\xi_f^2\bar q^2}\bigg\{W\big(\bar w_{uf}+\bar q(\xi_f^2-C\xi_l\xi_f),\bar a_u\big)\bigg(\mathrm{Pv}\frac{1}{\bar v_{ul'}-\bar w_{uf}-\bar q}-\mathrm{Pv}\frac{1}{\bar v_{ul}-\bar w_{uf}-\bar q}\bigg)$$
$$+\overline{W}\big(\bar w_{u'f}+\bar q(\xi_f^2-C\xi_l\xi_f),\bar a_{u'}\big)\bigg(\mathrm{Pv}\frac{1}{\bar v_{u'l'}-\bar w_{u'f}-\bar q}-\mathrm{Pv}\frac{1}{\bar v_{u'l}-\bar w_{u'f}-\bar q}\bigg)\bigg\}$$
$$\equiv\frac{\pi}{\Delta^2\,S\xi_l\xi_f}\bigg\{W\bigg(\frac{\kappa^+v_{ul'}+\kappa^-w_{uf}}{S\xi_l\xi_f},\frac{a_u}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\bigg)\,\exp\big[-(v_{ul'}-w_{uf})^2\big]+\overline{W}\bigg(\frac{\kappa^+v_{u'l}+\kappa^-w_{u'f}}{S\xi_l\xi_f},\frac{a_{u'}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\bigg)\,\exp\big[-(v_{u'l}-w_{u'f})^2\big]$$
$$+W\bigg(\frac{\kappa^+v_{ul}+\kappa^-w_{uf}}{S\xi_l\xi_f},\frac{a_u}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\bigg)\,\exp\big[-(v_{ul}-w_{uf})^2\big]+\overline{W}\bigg(\frac{\kappa^+v_{u'l'}+\kappa^-w_{u'f}}{S\xi_l\xi_f},\frac{a_{u'}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\bigg)\,\exp\big[-(v_{u'l'}-w_{u'f})^2\big]\bigg\}$$
$$+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\Delta^2\,S\xi_l\xi_f}\fint_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dq\;\mathrm{e}^{-q^2}\bigg\{W\bigg(\frac{w_{uf}+q(\xi_f^2-C\xi_l\xi_f)}{S\xi_l\xi_f},\frac{a_u}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\bigg)\bigg(\frac{1}{v_{ul'}-w_{uf}-q}-\frac{1}{v_{ul}-w_{uf}-q}\bigg)$$
$$+\overline{W}\bigg(\frac{w_{u'f}+q(\xi_f^2-C\xi_l\xi_f)}{S\xi_l\xi_f},\frac{a_{u'}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\bigg)\bigg(\frac{1}{v_{u'l'}-w_{u'f}-q}-\frac{1}{v_{u'l}-w_{u'f}-q}\bigg)\bigg\}\,, \tag{A3}$$

where we introduced new quantities (cf. Equation (4))

$$\kappa^\pm=\tfrac12\big[1\pm(\xi_f^2-\xi_l^2)\big]\,, \tag{A4}$$

and where the symbol $\fint$ in the last part indicates that the integral is taken in the principal value sense.

Finally, by recalling the definition (6) for the quantities v_{ab} and w_{ab}, after reordering of some terms, we arrive at Equation (14).

B. TRANSFORMATION OF THE INTEGRAL TERM

We consider the expression

$$\mathcal{T}=\fint_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dq\;\mathrm{e}^{-q^2}\;\frac{W((w+\beta q)/N,a/N)}{v-w-q}\,, \tag{B1}$$

with $N\equiv S\xi_l\xi_f$ and $\beta\equiv\xi_f^2-C\xi_l\xi_f$. This expression provides the generic form of the various contributions to the integral term of Equation (14). Using the explicit form of $W(v,a)$, Equation (5), we find

$$\mathcal{T}=\frac{1}{\pi}\fint_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dq\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dp\;\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-p^2}}{a/N+\mathrm{i}[p-(w+\beta q)/N]}\,\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-q^2}}{v-w-q}$$
$$=\frac{1}{\pi}\fint_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dq\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dp\;\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-(p+\beta q/N)^2}}{a/N+\mathrm{i}(p-w/N)}\,\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-q^2}}{v-w-q}\,,$$

where in the second line we operated the substitution $p \to p + \beta q/N$. We note that

$$\left(p + \frac{\beta q}{N}\right)^2 + q^2 = p^2 + 2\frac{\beta}{N}\,pq + \left(1 + \frac{\beta^2}{N^2}\right)q^2 \equiv p^2 + 2\frac{\beta}{N}\,pq + \frac{q^2}{\alpha^2}$$
$$= \left(1 - \frac{\alpha^2\beta^2}{N^2}\right)p^2 + \left(\frac{\alpha\beta}{N}\,p + \frac{q}{\alpha}\right)^2 = \alpha^2 p^2 + \left(\frac{\alpha\beta}{N}\,p + \frac{q}{\alpha}\right)^2 ,$$

where we put $\alpha^2 \equiv (1 + \beta^2/N^2)^{-1} = S^2\xi_l^2$ (see Equation (4)). Defining next $\tilde{q} = q/\alpha$, we find at last

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp\,\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha^2 p^2}}{a/N + \mathrm{i}(p - w/N)}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tilde{q}\,\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-(\tilde{q}+\alpha\beta p/N)^2}}{(v-w)/\alpha + \alpha\beta p/N - (\tilde{q} + \alpha\beta p/N)}$$
$$\equiv \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp\,\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha^2 p^2}}{a/N + \mathrm{i}(p - w/N)}\,F\!\left(\frac{v-w}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha\beta}{N}\,p\right)$$
$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp\,\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-(\alpha/N)^2 p^2}}{a + \mathrm{i}(p - w)}\,F\!\left(\frac{v-w}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha\beta}{N^2}\,p\right)$$
$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp\,\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-p^2/\xi_f^2}}{a + \mathrm{i}(p - w)}\,F\!\left(\frac{v - w + p(1 - C\xi_l/\xi_f)}{S\xi_l}\right) , \tag{B2}$$

where in the second line we recognized the integral over $\tilde{q}$ to be the Hilbert transform of a Gaussian, which can therefore be expressed in terms of the Dawson's integral function $F(x)$ (e.g., Gautschi & Waldvogel 2001).

Finally, we observe that

$$F(v) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\,L(v, 0) , \tag{B3}$$

where $L(v, a)$ is the imaginary part of the generalized Voigt function $W(v, a)$ of Equation (5). Hence the expression (B2) can conveniently be evaluated using the same algorithm adopted for the computation of $W(v, a)$.

C. NORMALIZATION OF THE REDISTRIBUTION FUNCTION

It is important to verify that the transformation of the redistribution function from the atomic frame of rest to the laboratory system preserves its norm, which also increases confidence in the correctness of the transformation itself. In order to do so, we consider the simplest case of Equation (19), which we rewrite in the form (see Equation (13))

$$R_{\mathrm{II}}(\Omega_u, \Omega_{u'}; \Omega_l, \Omega_f; \hat{\omega}_k, \hat{\omega}_{k'}; \Theta) = \frac{2\pi}{\Delta^2\,S\xi_l\xi_f}$$
$$\times \left\{\exp\!\left[-(v_{ul} - w_{uf})^2\right] W\!\left(\frac{\kappa^+ v_{ul} + \kappa^- w_{uf}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a_u}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right) + \exp\!\left[-(v_{u'l} - w_{u'f})^2\right] \overline{W}\!\left(\frac{\kappa^+ v_{u'l} + \kappa^- w_{u'f}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a_{u'}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right)\right\} \tag{C1}$$

By introducing new variables $\xi = v_{ab} - w_{ac}$ and $\eta = \kappa^+ v_{ab} + \kappa^- w_{ac}$, we can show that the double integral of this expression over $\hat{\omega}_k$ and $\hat{\omega}_{k'}$ is equivalent to (we note that $\kappa^\pm$ are dimensionless quantities, and that $\kappa^+ + \kappa^- = 1$; cf. Equation (A4))

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\hat{\omega}_k \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\hat{\omega}_{k'}\; R_{\mathrm{II}}(\Omega_u, \Omega_{u'}; \Omega_l, \Omega_f; \hat{\omega}_k, \hat{\omega}_{k'}; \Theta) = \frac{2\pi\sqrt{\pi}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}$$
$$\times \lim_{M\to\infty}\left\{\int_{-M}^{0} d\eta\,\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{erf}\left(\frac{M + 2\kappa^+\eta}{2\kappa^+\kappa^-}\right) + \mathrm{erf}\left(\frac{M + 2\kappa^-\eta}{2\kappa^+\kappa^-}\right)\right]\left[W\left(\frac{\eta}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a_u}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right) + \overline{W}\left(\frac{\eta}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a_{u'}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right)\right]\right.$$
$$\left. + \int_{0}^{M} d\eta\,\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{erf}\left(\frac{M - 2\kappa^+\eta}{2\kappa^+\kappa^-}\right) + \mathrm{erf}\left(\frac{M - 2\kappa^-\eta}{2\kappa^+\kappa^-}\right)\right]\left[W\left(\frac{\eta}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a_u}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right) + \overline{W}\left(\frac{\eta}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a_{u'}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right)\right]\right\} .$$

For large positive values of k, $\mathrm{erf}(k \pm v) \sim 1$ except when v lies within some neighborhood of $\mp k$ (in which cases $\mathrm{erf}(k \pm v) \sim \mathrm{erf}(0) = 0$), whereas the function $W(v, a)$ tends to zero for large arguments of $|v|$. Therefore, by choosing a large enough value of M, we can replace all instances of $\mathrm{erf}(x)$ in the above integrals with 1, since the regions where that function differs from 1 can be made to correspond to regions where the function $W(v, a)$ practically vanishes. Hence,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\hat{\omega}_k \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\hat{\omega}_{k'}\; R_{\mathrm{II}}(\Omega_u, \Omega_{u'}; \Omega_l, \Omega_f; \hat{\omega}_k, \hat{\omega}_{k'}; \Theta) = \frac{2\pi\sqrt{\pi}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\eta\left[W\left(\frac{\eta}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a_u}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right) + \overline{W}\left(\frac{\eta}{S\xi_l\xi_f}, \frac{a_{u'}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\right)\right]$$
$$= \frac{2\pi\sqrt{\pi}}{S\xi_l\xi_f}\left(2\sqrt{\pi}\,S\xi_l\xi_f\right) = 4\pi^2 ,$$

owing to the fact that the norm of both $W(v,a)$ and $\overline{W}(v,a)$ evaluates to $\sqrt{\pi}$. This result is in agreement with Equation (16) of Paper I. In particular, it shows that the additional integral term in Equation (17), which is generally non-vanishing in the presence of lower-term coherence, is purely a term of frequency redistribution that carries no net energy. This can also be seen directly from Equation (17), by integrating that term over $\hat{\omega}_k$. Since that variable only appears in the argument of the Dawson's integral, which is an odd function, the integration over $\hat{\omega}_k$ makes each of the two contributions in that term to vanish. In particular this implies that the integral term of Equation (17) brings no contribution when the atom is illuminated by a spectrally flat radiation. Figure 2 also provides a numerical demonstration of this result, since the integrals over v_k of the curves in the upper panel all converge to $4\pi^2$, whereas the curves in the lower panel all have zero integral.

REFERENCES

Abramowitz, M., & Stegun, I. A. 1964, Handbook of Mathematical Functions (Washington: National Bureau of Standards)

Belluzzi, L., & Trujillo Bueno, J. 2012, ApJL, 750, L11

Casini, R., & Manso Sainz, R.. 2016, ApJ, 824, 135

Casini, R., Landi Degl'Innocenti, M., Manso Sainz, R., Landi Degl'Innocenti, E., & Landolfi, M.. 2014, ApJ, 791, 94 (Paper I)

Gautschi, W., & Waldvogel, J. 2001, Computing the Hilbert Transform of the Generalized Laguerre and Hermite Weight Functions, BIT, 41, 490

Hubeny, I. 1982, JQSRT, 27, 593

Landi Degl'Innocenti, E., & Landolfi, M. 2004, Polarization in Spectral Lines (Dordrecht: Springer)

Lee, J.-S. 1977, ApJ, 218, 857

McKenna, S. J., and Nelson, W. 1986, Ap&SS, 125, 103

Mihalas, D. 1978, Stellar Atmospheres, 2nd ed. (San Francisco: Freeman)